

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,496	07/25/2001	Robert J. Higgins	211897US99	4428	
22850	7590 01/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WILLE, DOUGLAS A		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/200	ILED: 01/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/911,496	HIGGINS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Douglas A Wille	2814			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addr	ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r D period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the common th	munication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<i>May 2003</i> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			nerits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5)□)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the ACKNOWLEDGE TOTAL The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. § first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	oplication No received in this National Streceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional aution or in an Application Dependence of the provisional aution or in an Application Dependence of the provisional aution or in an Application Dependence of the provisional aution or in an Application Dependence of the provisional aution or in an Application Dependence of the provisional aution of the provision of the provisional aution of the provisional aution of the p	pplication) ata Sheet. specific		
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension C.	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (P10-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1			

Application/Control Number: 09/911,496 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

Election/Restrictions

The election/Restriction also been made final and will not be addressed further.

IDS

Applicant has submitted three IDSs dated 8 May 2003, 6 November 2003 and 14 March 2003. Since none of these IDSs contain a statement of relevance for each document, as required, they will not be considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 4 and 6- 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guenzer.
- 3. With respect to claim 1, Guenzer shows a structure (see cover Figure and column 2, line 35 et seq.) with a monocrystalline silicon layer 14 which may be regarded as a substrate if the structure is inverted, a BTO layer and a SiO₂ layer 20 which together are inherently a strain relief layer due to the lack of crystallinity of the SiO₂ and a layer 22 which could be a piezoelectric such as LiNbO₃ (column 3, line 36) and could be acoustic.
- 4. With respect to claim 2, the semiconductor substrate is Si.
- 5. With respect to claim 3, LiNbO₃ is a metal oxide.
- 6. With respect to claim 4, the piezoelectric is LiNbO₃.
- 7. With respect to claim 6, the layer 12 is BTO.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,496 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

8. With respect to claims 7 and 9, the layer 20 is amorphous SiO₂.

9. With respect to claim 8, layer 12 is crystalline BTO.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 10 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guenzer in view of Grudkowski et al.
- 12. With respect to claim 10, Gruenzer shows a structure that could be used for piezo- and acousto-electric interactions but does not specify a device structure. Grudkowski et al. show (see cover Figure and column 3, line 31) a heterojunction acoustic charge transport (HACT) device made of GaAs but the SAW propagating region could be LiNbO₃ (column 7, line 30). It would have been obvious to use the Grudkowski et al. device in the Guenzer structure since it represents a functional device. The Grudkowski et al. device includes a SAW transducer 10 which is a passive device.
- 13. With respect to claims 11 and 12, the Grudkowski et al. device is a HACT which is an active device.
- 14. With respect to claims 13 and 14, the charge packets 21 are in the substrate (see cover Figure and column 3, line 53) and are coupled to the acoustic wave.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,496

Art Unit: 2814

15. With respect to claim 15, Guenzer shows that the acoustic device can be combined with

circuits in the silicon (column 3, line 33) and it would be obvious to provide an electrical

interconnect between the two device parts.

16. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guenzer in view of

Kittel.

17. Kittel shows (see page 415) that LiTaO₃ is a material similar to LiNbO₃ and has a large

polarization. It would be obvious to use LiTaO₃ instead of LiNbO₃ as a design alternative since

the characteristics are roughly comparable.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed 5/27/03 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

19. Applicant states that Guenzer does not show an amorphous layer but note that Guenzer

shows (column 3, line 1) that Ramesh teaches to grow the BTO on amorphous silicon dioxide

and Guenzer carries out that teaching.

20. Applicant further states that Guenzer shows the silica layer is partially oriented but in

fact, Guenzer shows that the silica layer is an insulating layer between partially oriented layers

(column 3, line 29) and is not itself partially oriented.

21. Applicant erroneously states that there are four layers in claim 1, but only three layers are

claimed and the electro-acoustic device is in the piezoelectric layer. As noted by Examiner,

lithium niobate is a well known electro-acoustic material and its use as such would be obvious.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

22. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Wille whose telephone number is (571) 272-1721. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-2:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2814

Douglas A. Wille Primary Examiner

January 7, 2004